UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/787,079	03/07/2001	Jorg Rosenberg	0480/001216	1470	
	7590 09/01/200 CE DELUCA + QUIG	EXAMINER			
1300 EYE STR	EET NW	HUSON, MONICA ANNE			
SUITE 1000 W WASHINGTO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1791		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		09/01/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A	Application No. Applicant(s		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			9/787,079		ROSENBERG ET AL.			
			caminer		Art Unit			
		M	ONICA A. HUSC	N	1791			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur r Reply	nication appear	s on the cover s	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply is specified above, the maximum si e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS CON In no event, however only and will expire SI se the application to be	MMUNICATION  Per, may a reply be time  X (6) MONTHS from Induced the second ABANDONE I	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 29 July 2	2009					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ion is non-final					
′=		/ <b>—</b>			secution as to the	e merits is		
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) X	Claim(s) 1 3 5 7-9 and 11-19 is/are	pending in the	application					
	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1,3,5,7-9 and 11-19 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,7-9 and 11-19</u> is/are	rejected						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	rojocioa.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or ele	ection requirem	ent				
		onorrama, or on	ouom roquii om	J. 1.				
	on Papers							
-	The specification is objected to by th		_					
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 <i>March</i> 20</u>	<u>/01</u> is/are∶ a)⊠	accepted or b	) objected to	by the Examine	r.		
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drav	ving(s) be held in	abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	-	-			• •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	5) <u>P</u>	terview Summary aper No(s)/Mail Da otice of Informal Pa ther:	te			

Art Unit: 1791

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the Amendment filed 29 July 2009.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-9, and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dabal et al. (U.S. Patent 4,072,551), in view of Klimesch et al. (U.S. Patent 5,073,379). Regarding Claim 1, Dabal shows that it is known to carry out a method for producing tablets by melt extrusion (Column 8, lines 1-44; Column 10, lines 3-13), in which an extrudable pharmaceutical mixture is heated and extruded in the form of a continuous product strip, wherein, in a first stage, the still deformable product strip is compressed to a continuous tablet belt, the individual tablets in the belt being connected together by product webs (Figure 5, element 82, 83; Figure 6B), in a second stage, downstream of the first stage, the tablet belt is allowed to cool to form a solidified tablet belt (Figure 5, printing unit; It is noted that ambient cooling will take place along the transport sections.), in a third stage, downstream of the second stage, the tablets are mechanically singulated in a continuous process (Figure 5, unitizing unit), wherein a force with a component perpendicular to the plane of the tablet belt is allowed to act on the tablet belt for singulation of the tablet (Figure 5, unitizing unit; It is noted that the force exerted by the roller will have at least two components.), and then the singulated tablets are transported further to a fourth stage downstream of the said third stage where the singulated tablets are subsequently deflashed (Column 32, lines 13-18). Dabal does not show extruding a mixture containing a pharmaceutically active

ingredient. Klimesch et al., hereafter "Klimesch," show that it is known to carry out a method of extruding a mixture containing a pharmaceutically active ingredient (Column 2, lines 40-63). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Klimesch's melt extrusion composition that includes a pharmaceutically active ingredient during Dabal's molding process in order to avoid having to add the active ingredient at a later stage after extrusion. Dabal does not show a directional force that diverts the tablet belt in a downward direction from a transport plane to a tangential plane at an angle to the transport plane. Klimesch '379 shows that it is known to carry out a method wherein the perpendicular force component is generated by diverting the solidified tablet belt downwardly out of its transport plane to an angled tangential plane (Figure 1: singulated tablets are diverted downward in a tangential plane at an angle to the transport (horizontal) plane; Column 2, lines 61-67). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Klimesch '379's diverting force to tabulate Dabal's belt in order to most efficiently achieve the unitizing operation.

Regarding Claim 3, Dabal shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 1 above, including a method wherein a force with a component parallel to the plane of the tablet belt is allowed to act on the tablet belt for singulation of the tablets (Figure 5, unitizing unit; It is noted that the force exerted by the roller will have at least two components.).

Regarding Claim 5, Dabal shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claims 1 and 2 above, Regarding Claim 5, Dabal shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 3 above, including a method wherein the parallel force component is generated by exerting a traction force on the solidified tablet belt (Figure 5, unitizing unit; It is noted that the force exerted by the rollers will include some traction force.).

Regarding Claim 12, Dabal shows that it is known to have an apparatus for producing tablets (Figure 5), comprising at least one extruder means for heating a pharmaceutical mixture (Column 8, lines 1-44; Column 10, lines 3-13); means for

Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

shaping a tablet belt from said extruded heated pharmaceutical mixture arranged downstream of said extruder, said extruder means forming a tablet belt comprising individual tablets connected by a product web (Figure 5, element 82, 83; it is interpreted that although there is not a large web space between the tablets, the tablets are connected by a web between each tablet, even if the web space is very small.; Figure 6B); first transport means for said tablet belt comprising means for cooling the extruded tablet belts and which is arranged downstream of said shaping means (Figure 5, printing unit; It is noted that ambient cooling will take place along the transport sections.), and means for singulating and deflashing said tablets, wherein said means for singulating and deflashing said tablets comprise at least one singulating means arranged downstream of said first transport means and at least one deflashing means arranged downstream of said singulating means and spatially separate therefrom (Figure 5, unitizing unit; Column 32, lines 13-18; It is noted that the transport means is the tension force that is generated by the two rollers acting together on the tablet belt.). Dabal does not show extruding a mixture containing a pharmaceutically active ingredient. Klimesch shows that it is known to carry out a method of extruding a mixture containing a pharmaceutically active ingredient (Column 2, lines 40-63). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Klimesch's melt extrusion composition that includes a pharmaceutically active ingredient during Dabal's molding process in order to avoid having to add the active ingredient at a later stage after extrusion. Dabal does not show a directional force that diverts the tablet belt in a downward direction from a transport plane to a tangential plane at an angle to the transport plane. Klimesch '379 shows that it is known to carry out a method wherein the perpendicular force component is generated by diverting the solidified tablet belt downwardly out of its transport plane to an angled tangential plane (Figure 1: singulated tablets are diverted downward in a tangential plane at an angle to the transport (horizontal) plane; Column 2, lines 61-67). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Klimesch '379's diverting force to tabulate Dabal's belt in order to most efficiently achieve the unitizing operation.

Art Unit: 1791

Regarding Claim 7, Dabal shows the apparatus as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 12 above, including a machine wherein the singulating means comprises at least one rotatable roller (Figure 5, unitizing unit).

Page 5

Regarding Claim 8, Dabal shows the apparatus as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 7 above, including a machine wherein the singulating means comprises two counter-rotating rollers which can be pressed against one another (Figure 5, unitizing unit).

Regarding Claim 9, Dabal shows the apparatus as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 12 above, including a machine wherein the singulating means comprises at least one embossed roller (Column 22, lines 58-63).

Regarding Claim 11, Dabal shows the apparatus as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 12 above, including a machine wherein a second transport means is provided between the singulating means and the deflashing means and the deflashing means comprises a shaking or vibrating unit (Column 30, lines 12-19; Column 32, lines 13-18; It is noted that by suggesting that the tablets are amenable to online testing throughout their production, Dabal implies that the tablets are transported from the unitizing to the deflashing operation in a predetermined fashion.).

Regarding Claim 13, Dabal et al., hereafter "Dabal," show that it is known to carry out a method for producing tablets by melt extrusion (Column 8, lines 1-44; Column 10, lines 3-13), in which an extrudable pharmaceutical mixture is heated and extruded in the form of a continuous product strip, wherein, in a first stage, the still deformable product strip is compressed to a continuous tablet belt, the individual tablets in the belt being connected together by product webs (Figure 5, element 82, 83; Figure 6B), in a second stage, downstream of the first stage, the tablet belt is allowed to cool to form a solidified tablet belt (Figure 5, printing unit; It is noted that ambient cooling will take place along the transport sections.), in a third stage, downstream of the second stage, the tablets are mechanically singulated in a continuous process (Figure 5, unitizing unit), and then the singulated tablets are transported further to a fourth stage downstream of the said third stage where the singulated tablets are subsequently deflashed (Column 32, lines

13-18), including a method wherein a force with a component perpendicular to the plane of the tablet belt is allowed to act on the tablet belt for singulation of the tablet (Figure 5, unitizing unit; It is noted that the force exerted by the roller will have at least two components.). Dabal does not show a directional force that diverts the tablet belt in a downward direction from a transport plane to a tangential plane at an angle to the transport plane. Klimesch '379 shows that it is known to carry out a method wherein the perpendicular force component is generated by diverting the solidified tablet belt downwardly out of its transport plane to an angled tangential plane (Figure 1: singulated tablets are diverted downward in a tangential plane at an angle to the transport (horizontal) plane; Column 2, lines 61-67). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Klimesch '379's diverting force to tabulate Dabal's belt in order to most efficiently achieve the unitizing operation.

Regarding Claim 14, Dabal shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 13 above, but he does not show extruding a mixture containing a pharmaceutically active ingredient. Klimesch shows that it is known to carry out a method of extruding a mixture containing a pharmaceutically active ingredient (Column 2, lines 40-63). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Klimesch's melt extrusion composition that includes a pharmaceutically active ingredient during Dabal's molding process in order to avoid having to add the active ingredient at a later stage after extrusion.

Regarding Claim 15, Dabal shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 13 above, including a method wherein singulating and said melt extrusion speed are substantially similar (Column 22, lines 9-19).

Regarding Claim 16, Dabal shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 13 above, including a method wherein a speed of a breaking roller is configured to match a speed of a transport belt (Figures 3, 4A; Column 21, lines 42-49; Column 22, lines 5-25; Column 23, lines 9-19).

Application/Control Number: 09/787,079 Page 7

Art Unit: 1791

Regarding Claim 17, Dabal shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 13 above, including a method wherein the melt extrusion and singulating are continuous (Column 12, lines 66-68; Column 13, lines 1-8).

Regarding Claims 18-19; Dabal shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 13 above, including a method wherein the cooling renders the continuous tablet belt resistant to bending or deformation (Figures 5; It is being interpreted that ambient cooling renders the belt able to be transported independently without bending or deforming out of line with the rollers and subsequent processing stations).

## Response to Arguments

See body of rejections for claims 1, 12, and 13 for interpretation on how Klimesch suggests the newly clarified diverting step.

In addition to Dabal's end-connected dosage units, note that Dabal also shows dosage units connected by webs at Figure 6B.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MONICA A. HUSON whose telephone number is (571)272-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/787,079 Page 8

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Monica A Huson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1791

/Monica A Huson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791